



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,674	06	/28/2001	Andrzej Fertala	DRE-0032	6795
26259	7590	07/29/2003			
LICATLA		LL P.C.	EXAMINER		
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053				WITZ, J	Z, JEAN C
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1651	17
				DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/895,674	FERTALA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Jean C. Witz	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	? May 2003 .				
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applica	ition.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) 4 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	oor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Evaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	J 1 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	3			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in A	Application No.			
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	iority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p		·			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome:					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/895,674

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Bell (WO 0023008).

Claim 1 recites a tissue engineering scaffold comprised of collagen or a collagen-like peptide incorporated within polymeric fibers. Claims 2-3 further defines the collagen-like peptide.

Bell (WO 0023008) disclose cardiovascular prostheses formed from woven biodegradable polymer fibers. These fibers can be made of one or more biopolymers. At page 6, a fiber composed of laminin and type IV collagen is disclosed. At page 7, collagen or combinations of collagen types can be used. Collagen type II is specifically identified as one of types of collagens to be used in the prosthesis. Therefore, collagen type II is disclosed as being incorporated within the fiber. Broadest reasonable interpretation of claim 3 renders a collagen-like peptide comprising amino

Art Unit: 1651

acids 703-936 to be any peptide containing said amino acid sequence. Human collagen type II is such a peptide. Therefore, the reference anticipates the claims.

Applicants appear to be asserting that the term "within polymeric fibers" must be limited to the definition "incorporated within the fiber matrix". While this definition falls within the scope of the term "within polymeric fibers", broadest reasonable interpretation of the term "within polymeric fibers" includes the fiber of Bell where collagen is found within the fiber. Further, this interpretation is not inconsistent with the specification but merely an alternative. If Applicants desire the claim to be limited to the stated definition, the claims should be amended to reflect the limitation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 4 is allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art_Unit: 1651

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651